AMENDMENT OF SOLUCITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT 1 2 2. Amendment/Modification Number 3. Effective Data 4. Requisition?Purchase Requisition?Purchaserequisitinte Admetase Requisition?Purchase Requisition?Purchase Re								1. Contract	1. Contract Number		f Pages	
001 See Box 16C DC Sports Study 6. Issued by: Code 7. Administered by (if other than line 6) Office of Departy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development Office of Centracts. Procurrent and Grants Troit Harl Street. S. Suite 675 Office of Departy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development 1050 Perpendivas Avenue, Suite 377 8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street. city, county, state and 2p code) A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCEB-2023-R-0068 X TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFORERS A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCEB-2023-R-0068 X Mile address of Contractor/Order No. To ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFORERS Int. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS X Mile address of Contractor/Order No. To B. Dated (See Item 13) Int. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS Int. Biological Contractor/Order No. Offer mut advondering on cito your of the amendment of the non-Int. The the CERP FOR CO TO THE HOURA AD DEVENDMENT OF HOURA AD DEVENDMENT OF HUB HOURA AD DEVENDMENT AD HUB HOURA A	AMENDMENT OF SOLI	CITATIO	N / MODIF	ICATIO	N OI	F COI	NTRACT			1	2	
Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development 1360 Pornsylvaria Avonue, NW, Sulis 317 Washington, D.C. 2000. Office of Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development 1360 Pornsylvaria Avonue, NW, Sulis 317 Washington, D.C. 2000. ID State State, Structure Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code) IA. Amendment of Solicitation No. Development of No. 108. Dated (See Item 13) Code Facility ID Dated (See Item 13) ID Dated (See Item 13) ID Dated (See Item 13) ID Dated (See Item 13) ID Dated (See Item 13) ID Eactor (VOR OFFER, IFV) the Of the amendment prof to the hand and the specified for receipt of Offers ID Mayor of the affect (B) considering them 3 and 13, and unumo of 0 (ID nog of the amendment (I), B) solicitation of Date Selection Mayor of the affect (B) considering them 3 and 13, and unumo of 0 (ID nog of the amendment (I), B) solicitation (I), B, Solicitation (ID NE Selection Mayor Date Selection Mayor Educed each letter at leggma makes reference to the solicitation and the specified of the selection of the following methods: (B) code advector is need and the contractor NOR SCONTRACTORS/ORDERS. If A Dated Selectific MAY Result (I) Not the Date Selectific M	-	-	r 3. Effective Date 4.									
Office of Centracts: Procument and Grants 1300 Perms/waiai Avenue, NV, Suite 317 Washington, D.C. 20003 Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) IN ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFORERS Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Code Facility Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Code Facility Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Image: Stress of Centractor (No. street, city, county, state and 2p code) Code Facility Image: Stress of Centractor (Norter No. Imag	6. Issued by:	isued by: Code				7. Administered by (If other than line 6)						
Code Facility Code Facility 108. Date(See time 11) August 3, 2023 104. Modification of Contractor/Order No. 108. Date(See time 13) Code Facility 108. Date(See time 13) Code Facility 108. Date(See time 13) Code Facility Inflammust Active Code Sector Code Code Facility Inflammust Active Code Sector Code Inflamust Active Code Sector Code	Office of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 1015 Half Street, S.E., Suite 675					1350 Pennsylvania Ávenue, NW, Suite 317						
TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFORERS X BB. Dated (Gee Item 11) [104. Modification of Contractor/Order No. [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] Code Facility [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] Code Facility [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] International Contractor/Order No. [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] [108. Modification of Contractor/Order No. [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] Code Facility [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] [108. Dated (Gee Item 13)] Code on unbered solicitation is amended as set forth in tem 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [] is extended. [] is not extended. (A) By completing items 8 and 15, and extended as ext forth in tem 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [] is extended. [] is not extended. (A) By completing items 8 and 15, and extended as ext forth in tem 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [] is extended. [] is not extended. (A) By completing items 8 and 15, and extended as ext forth in tem 14. The hour and date specified for the opening hour and date specified [] is extended. [] is extended. [] is extended. [] is a extended for the opening hour and date specified for theot provision and contractored for theot for theot fore				code)		-		ation No.				
Code Facility 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS Imposed solid solid is amended as sel forth in them 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers I is extended. If the advecting receipt of this amendment or prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or is a markets by one of the following method: If the amendment is the hour and date specified in the solicitation or solid solid the top of the offer solutified or (c) BY specified top THE PROCE DESIGNATE POR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PROR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO DE REGEVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PROR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment, and is received pior to the opening hour and date specified. 12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required) 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS. If MODIFIES THE CONTRACTORSER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14 A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR. Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The change set forth in item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contractorder is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 C. This supplemental agreement is entimed into pursuant to authority Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not imposed by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFER	TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFORERS					x	9B. Dated (S August 3, 20	See Item 11))23				
Code Facility 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS Imposed solid solid is amended as sel forth in them 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers I is extended. If the advecting receipt of this amendment or prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or is a markets by one of the following method: If the amendment is the hour and date specified in the solicitation or solid solid the top of the offer solutified or (c) BY specified top THE PROCE DESIGNATE POR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PROR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO DE REGEVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PROR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment, and is received pior to the opening hour and date specified. 12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required) 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS. If MODIFIES THE CONTRACTORSER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14 A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR. Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The change set forth in item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contractorder is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 C. This supplemental agreement is entimed into pursuant to authority Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not imposed by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFER												
Image of the provided of the second of t	Code	Facility					10B. Dated	See Item 13)				
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or a semended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing terms 8 and 15, and returning on (1) copy of the samedment: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDOMENT TO SER RECEIVED ATT HE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFER REPROR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified. 12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (II Required) 13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS , IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACTORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14 A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes as toff in 1 ltem 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of. C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to agraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not is in equired to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QU	11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS											
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14 A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of: C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may ho	Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.											
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED INITEM 14 A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of. C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not is not is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint v	12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)											
A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A. B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of: C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor □ is not ☑ is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 - Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Se												
data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of: C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor □ is not □ is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 - Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia Director of Contracta, DR, MRA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPP	A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b)											
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Charge Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offer or whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data											
D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority) Paragraph 15, Changes, Standard Contract Provisions E. IMPORTANT Contractor is not is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office. 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	C. This supplemental ag	reement is e	ntered into pu		thority	y of: 27	DCMR 3601.2					
14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed				/) Paragraph	n 15, C	Changes	s, Standard Co	ontract Provis	ons			
PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	E. IMPORTANT Contractor] is not 🔀 i	s required to s	sign this doc	umen	nt and re	eturn one (1) c	opy to the iss	uing office.			
PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: Q1 – Would the District consider a proposal response where two firms bid on items of the RFP specific to their firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	14 Description of Amendment/Mo	dification (O	rganized by U	ICF Section	headi	inas inc	cluding solicita	tion/contract s	subject matter whe	ere feasible)	
firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1 and C.5.3 paired with another Firm 2 that submits a proposal response covering C.5.2? R1 – No. The District intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	PROSPECTIVE OFFEROR QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION AND THE DISTRICT'S RESPONSES ARE AS											
responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal addressing all the requirements stated in Section C.5. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed	firm's expertise, covering all requested proposal requirements but proposing and contracting separately? For example, would the District consider two proposals where Firm 1 submits a proposal response covering C.5.1											
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print) 16A. Name of Contracting Officer Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed Dr. Jacque McDonald Dr. Jacque McDonald	responsible offeror whose offer conforms to the solicitation. An offeror may however, partner with another firm as a prime or subcontractor, or as a certified joint venture and submit a single proposal											
Jacque McDonald, DBA, MBA, MST, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB Director of Contracts, Procurement and Grants 15B. Name of Contractor 15C. Date Signed 16B. District of Columbia 16C. Date Signed Dr. Jacque McDonald			tions of the do						anged and in full f	force and e	ffect.	
Dr. Jacque McDouald	- · · ·	pe or print)			Jacqu	ie McDo	onald, DBA, M	BA, MST, NIG				
	15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date	Signed	16B. [District o				16C. Date	Signed	
	(Signature of person at	thorized to sign)					Dr			08-14-20	023	

CONTINUATION SHEET	Solicitation Number	Page of Pages		
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT	DCEB-2023-R-0008	2	2	

Q2 – In section C.5.2.2, the Carter Barron facility is mentioned. Please clarify if there is a tennis team that should be noted or added to section C.3 Definitions.

R2 – DMPED acknowledges that potential financing structures to support stadiums listed in section C.5.2.2 will require some analysis and understanding of current stadium utilization. The economic impact analysis being requested within C.5.3 is limited to the major sports teams defined in C.3.

Q3 – Please confirm that for Section C.5.2- Stadium Financing and Deal Structures, whether a detailed Project Financing Plan is needed as part of this assignment, as opposed to identifying a range of deal structures. If recommendations are expected, please confirm that we will have access to all necessary District financial and legal staff to meet the listed timeframe.

R3 – DMPED will coordinate with the necessary interagency District partners to help facilitate analysis and recommendations that are most relevant to the District context. As stated in C.5.2.2, the report will be expected to identify and recommend potential financing structures to support new stadiums or stadium rehabilitation for the stadiums identified.

Q4 – In Section C.5.3 - Economic Impact of DC Stadiums and Major Sports Teams, please confirm that a 10year review of job density, spending trends, and property tax changes will suffice. Without receiving detailed data from each of the DC Major Sports Teams, this scope may not be feasible to complete. As an alternative to the language proposed in this section, please clarify if a 10-year projection or forecast of the future economic impact would be acceptable.

R4 – DMPED understands that there may be several possible pathways for providing the economic impact requested within the anticipated timelines and looks forward to reviewing potential analysis options in the detailed proposals.

Q5 – Section C.5.3.1.2: Is this section intended to answer how DC sports teams and venues have contributed to the local economy? Or how major sports teams and venues around the nation have contributed to their local economy?

R5 – Yes, this section is intended to answer how DC Sports teams and venues have contributed to the local economy.

###